

## Module 1 Telecon #10

March 20, 2003

Draft minutes

**Discussion topics:** Permanent IDs, guidance docs

**Participants:** Chris Clarke, Lou Kerestesy, John Crowe, Dave Butler, Jim Kramer, Ed McKay, Rick Yorczyk, Robin Feagas, Steve Grise, Doug Nebert, Nancy Von Meyer.

### Permanent ID discussion

1. Steve Grise and Nancy von Meyer suggested edits to footnote 3. All edits were incorporated.
2. Doug Nebert expressed concern that the solution statement would cause a problem for hydro which included a permanent ID and a separate representation ID in its model. Steve clarified that this problem and solution statement addresses features in one data exchange. Doug will check with the hydro MAT for consistency or conflict.
3. Discussion on 1.3 and multiple representations and its relationship to bullet 3. Doug expressed concern that the paper did not provide enough detail on how to carry the permanent identifier in the documentation. Steve and Nancy commented that this has been discussed several times and the conclusion was that each community would address as appropriate and that general guidance as agreed to by the group and in the paper would be included in the base standard. Lou would add reference to the base standard in bullet 3.
4. Nancy indicated that the present paper preserves existing historical data and does not negatively impact ongoing activities which is a good thing. Doug indicated that is OK as long as there is normative text that is included in standard to outline general guidance and then more detail in sub-parts as appropriate, agreed by those online.
5. Steve commented that the challenge of improving historical data will remain and we should address but it will take time. Our efforts to document needs will not move as fast as the technology and we need to acknowledge that. One way to address this is to get folks in a room who have intimate knowledge of specific data resources and figure out how to handle their needs, gain snapshots of existing data resources and how they are handled. This would support information sharing and long term access by multiple users.
6. Lou outlined goal to get a better understanding of user needs and suggestion from number 3 would be addressed in that effort. Steve indicated that rather than documenting what users identify as needs, would be good to get serious application folks in a room and identify “cutting edge” applications that might drive the direction of what we do and thereby develop a niche for One Stop.
7. Doug supported this idea and added that one of the driving users or customers for One Stop should be the other E-Govt efforts which are looking at One Stop to fill their geospatial needs via the portal.